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Reflective cracking over jointed concrete is a major problem in Virginia.

Result of horizontal and vertical movements at the joints and cracks in the underlying PCC
- Thermal and moisture changes

Reflective cracks allow water into the pavement
- Contributes to premature deterioration
- Reduce ride quality
Introduction

The key to delaying reflective cracking is to reduce the stresses and strains produced in the asphalt overlays.

State DOT’s are using several treatment strategies to mitigate reflective cracking

Most of the reflective cracking mitigation methods only delay or reduce the severity of the cracks
Reflective Cracking Mitigation Techniques

1. Saw and Seal

2. In Place Recycling (e.g.: CIR+ AC Overlay)

3. Crack-relief Mechanism (e.g.: Fabric Interlayers, Chip Seal etc.)

4. Asphalt mixes with higher cracking resistance

5. Fractured Slab Processes (e.g.: Rubblization + AC overlay)

6. Thicker Overlays
Saw and Seal

- Involves making saw cuts in the overlaying asphalt, (above the concrete joints) and sealing them with a compressible rubberized low modulus material

Economical option for controlling reflective cracking
VDOT Field Project: Saw and Seal
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Pavement Condition | Index Value (CCI)
--- | ---
Excellent | 90 and above
Good | 70-89
Fair | 60-69
Poor | 50-59
VDOT Field Project: Saw and Seal
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Data Credit: Bipad Saha, P.E, CO Materials Division
In Place Recycling
Cold In-Place Recycling (CIR) + AC overlay

- Suitable if the composite pavement has a substantial HMA thickness built up over the years with overlays

VDOT Projects

1. **US-60 in Henrico County**
   - Originally constructed in 1967 with 8 inches of JRCP
   - Average of 7” of existing asphalt
   - The last rehabilitation prior to the CIR was done in 2000
   - CIR to a depth of 5 inches (after 2” mill)
   - HMA overlay (2” SMA-12.5 and 2” SMA-19.0)
Cold In-Place Recycling (CIR)+ AC overlay

US-60 in Henrico County

Data Credit: Bipad Saha, P.E, CO Materials Division
Cold In-Place Recycling (CIR) + AC overlay

VDOT Projects

2. SR-35 in Prince George County

-Originally constructed in 1969 with 8” of JRCP
-Average of 7” of existing asphalt
-The last rehabilitation prior to the CIR was done in 2001
-CIR to a depth of 5 inches (after 2” mill)
-HMA overlay (2” SM-12.5E and 2” IM- 19.0A )
Cold In-Place Recycling (CIR)+ AC overlay

SR-35 in Prince George County

Data Credit: Bipad Saha, P.E, CO Materials Division
Crack-relief Mechanism

Paving Fabric Interlayers

Interlayers can be used for stress absorption, reinforcement, and to provide a waterproof barrier.

Performance was reported to depend on many factors including the installation procedures and condition of the existing pavement.

The technologies continue to advance with more interlayer choices than in the past.
Route 143 NB & SB, York County

Paving Grid Type III on milled asphalt pavement over jointed concrete, with 1.5” overlay of SM-9.5D.

Tack Coat: PG 64-22
Application rate of 0.13 gallon/yd^2
US Route 17 SB, York county

Paving Grid Type III directly on existing asphalt pavement over jointed concrete, with 2” overlay of SM-12.5D.

Tack Coat: PG 64-22 - application rate of 0.11 gallon/yd^2
US 460 Wakefield

1.12 mile long, 2” overlay

Fabric Length: 328 ft.
Width: 5 ft.
Rte. 30, York County

- Concrete patching
- Joint seal
- SM 4.75 (1”)
- Fabric placement
- Overlay (1.5’’)

![Concrete patching and sealant application on Rte. 30, York County](image1.png)

![Fabric placement and overlay installation](image2.png)
Crack-relief Mechanism

Fiber reinforced chip seal (Fibermat) interlayer + AC overlay

US13, Hampton Roads

Jointed concrete pavement

Fibermat + SM 9.5D overlay in year 2017
Asphalt mixes with higher cracking resistance

1. Use of highly modified (HP) binders (~7.5% SBS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site #</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>CCI (2017)</th>
<th>CCI (2018)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td>I-95</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nova</td>
<td>I-95</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nova</td>
<td>I-95</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Nova</td>
<td>I-95</td>
<td>SB</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nova</td>
<td>I-495</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ongoing VTRC project. Contact: Jhony Habbouche, Ph.D., EIT, jhony.habbouche@vdot.virginia.gov
Asphalt mixes with higher cracking resistance

2. Asphalt Rubber Gap Graded Mixture (AR-GGM12.5)

Wet Process

I-85, Richmond district

Total Asphalt content: 8.1%, RAP content: 10%
Rubber Content: 17.5%
Asphalt mixes with higher cracking resistance

3. GTR Modified Asphalt Surface Mixture, GTR-SM 12.5E

Dry Process

US 60, Richmond district

Total Asphalt Content: 6.5%
GTR: 10%
Asphalt mixes with higher cracking resistance

4. Fiber reinforced asphalt mixtures

Upcoming project in Hampton Roads District

5. SMA mixtures

Over jointed concrete pavement: Service life of 12+ years
More data analysis needed
Rubblization and other slab-fracturing technologies have proven to be cost effective.

They may not be feasible in all situations.
Terminal Boulevard (SR 406) Concrete Rubblization

Mainline

2.0” SM-12.5E
4.0” IM-19.0E (two lifts of 2”)
8” Rubblized Existing Concrete (CRCP)
12.0” Existing Cement Treated Sub-Base
Terminal Boulevard (SR 406)
## Terminal Boulevard (SR 406)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Mile points</th>
<th>IRI (in/mile) Average</th>
<th>Rut depth (inch), Average</th>
<th>CCI Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EB</strong></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>0-1.33</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>0-1.33</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WB</strong></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1.33-0.052</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.33-0.048</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SM 12.5D-1.5"
IM 19.0D- 2"
BM 25.0D+0.8 - 4” HMHB
Rubblized Existing Concrete (9-inch JPCP)
Reflection cracking is a serious challenge associated with pavement rehabilitation.

Saw and Seal and Recycling Techniques were found to be effective.

- Project selection is important

Choosing the right fabric, proper installation and dust free surface are very important for a successful Interlayer project.

- Performing well to date (1~2 Year)

More field performance data is needed to assess highly modified (HP) binder mixtures, GTR modified mixtures and rubblized pavements.

- Performing well to date (1~2 Year)
Future steps

Develop reflective cracking mitigation options to VDOT based on:

• Field performance of different techniques
• Initial Cost
• Benefit-cost
• Existing pavement distress/thickness
• Traffic conditions
• Construction feasibility
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

VDOT Central Office Materials
VDOT Central Office Maintenance
VDOT Districts
FHWA
VAA / Industry
Thank you!

Hari Nair, Ph.D., P.E
Senior Research Scientist
Harikrishnan.nair@vdot.virginia.gov